PETROLEUM INDUSTRY RESEARCH FOUNDATION, ING. 122 E. 42ND STREET NEW MORK, N.Y. 10017

2/2/77

To: SPRO File: Regional Reserves

In reviewing the computer runs for the PAL model, the FEA's world oil supply/demand model used for assessing the impacts of an embargo, we found many questionable features. According to the FEA, some of the problems are apparently resolved in a revision which we have yet to receive. Our comments are based on the early (6/8/77) run for the 1980 BAU Scenario.

- 1) The figures show no local refinery output of residual fuel for PAD I. Entire supply comes from foreign sources.
- There are no foreign imports of distillate or gasoline into PAD I. Supplies from other than PAD I refineries come from "Gulf Coast" (defined here as PAD's II-IV).
- 3) PAD I residual oil demand is about 30% lower than PIRINC's demand; distillate demand is 34% larger than PIRINC's.
- 4) PAD I refinery output is 1192 Mb/d higher than PIRINC's projected capacity, and 854 Mb/d higher than FEA's projected capacity.
- 5) For "Gulf Coast" (PAD II-IV), 97.5% of residual demand comes from foreign sources.
- 6) No North Slope crude is utilized in PAD's II-IV; all 1.2 MMb/d is refined on the West Coast.
- 7) A total of 81 Mb/d of product imports are assumed for PAD V.
- 8) Total U.S. distillate demand is 4853 Mb/d--this is one of the figures being revised.
- 9) For Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands, residual oil is the only export, and the residual yield is 78.5%.

- 10) For the Caribbean (here defined as Aruba, Curacao, Trinidad and Bahamas) residual is also the only export, and its yield is 92.4%.
- 11) Caribbean figures imply that refineries run at 68% of 1976 capacity.

These are not the only inconsistencies we found, but they serve as an indication that the model must be adjusted.

We have already pointed out to FEA's SPRO staff several other data problems, such as a 1980 residual fuel demand of 15 Mb/d in Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands (the demand was 89 Mb/d in 1975). The projection is used throughout FEA's printed documents, including the SPR Plan.

COMPARISON OF PAD I PROJECTIONS PIRINC AND FEA'S "PAL" MODEL (1)

(000 b/d)

	1976 BOM	PIRINC 1980	PAL
<pre>Demand/Supply</pre>			
Residual Oil Local Output Foreign Imports Net Transfers Domestic Demand (2)	185 1344 136 1663	218 1558 24 1800	- 1292 - 1292
Distillate Oil Local Output Foreign Imports Net Transfers Domestic Demand (2)	396 134 830 1392	443 121 986 1550	1017 - 1059 2076
All Products Refining Capacity Local Supply Foreign Imports Net Transfers Domestic Demand (2)	1747 1680 2977 6437	1925 1892 (4) 1872 3161 6910	2984 1292 2584 6860

- 1. FEA's Petroleum Allocation Model, 6/8/77 computer run, now under revision.
- 2. Includes Adjustments for stock changes and, for PIRINC, exports. No exports in PAL figures.
- 3. FEA estimate in "Trends in Refinery Capacity and Utilization" is 2090 $\mbox{Mb/d.}$
- 4. Includes refinery output, all hydrocarbons blended at refinery, and processing gain.