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About EPRINC

• Founded 1944
• Not-for-profit organization
• Studies intersection of petroleum economics and public policy
• Provides independent and technical analyses for distribution to the public
• Supports USG projects, e.g. Quadrennial Energy Review, DoD strategic outlook
• EPRINC Embassy Series
• 4th Year of Joint IEEJ-EPRINC Project on “Future of ASIAN LNG
• www.eprinc.org
EPRINC Recent Projects and Events

- Most Recent EPRINC Newsletter: Summer 2020
- “Crisis in the Oil Market: Remembrances of the Past, Policy Responses for the Future” Virtual Workshop
- “There Will Be Gas” Virtual Workshop and associated paper by Max Pyziur
- “There Will Be Oil” Virtual Workshop
- “Deepwater Oil Exploration in the Gulf of Mexico: A Spatial Model Provides Clues for Undiscovered Potential” by Peter Stark and Raphael Sandrea
- “Understanding the Safer Affordable Fuel-Efficient (SAFE) Rule for Automobiles” Virtual Workshop co-hosted with IER
- “Future of Asian LNG: Finding a Path Forward” Virtual Workshop co-hosted with IEEJ
- “Keeping the Lights on in California” Virtual Workshop
Ban on Fracking?

Influential political groups have called for a ban on hydraulic fracturing in the production of oil & gas in the United States.

President Trump is a strong supporter of HF, and Candidate (former VP) Biden supports halting HF on new leases on federal lands.

Biden also calls for stricter controls on methane leaks.

Some members of the Democratic Party have called for a total ban on HF across the oil and gas industry.

Mandates and supply constraints are poor measures for addressing climate risks (but more on that later).

But even a ban of federal lands has important consequences.............
Some in US are calling for rapid curtailment of US Oil and Gas production

But how should we deal with the “Economic Rent”

New Mexico legislators oppose fracking gas shale development, should they give back the rent?

Federal Revenues in 2017 from oil & gas leases exceed $6 BILLION

Katharine MacGregor, deputy assistant secretary of land and minerals management at the U.S. Department of Interior presents a check to the State of New Mexico for $486 million from a recent Federal lease sale, Dec. 11, 2018.

Source: Carlsbad Current Argus
HF in Unconventional Formations Brought about a Rapid Rise in U.S. Oil Production

Million of barrels/day, 2000-2019

Monthly U.S. Natural Gas Production (LHS) vs Henry Hub Price (RHS): to 01/31/2020

Analysis based on EIA data

Shale Oil Production After a Fracking Ban
(millions of barrels/day)

US Natural Gas Production After a Fracking Ban
(millions of cubic feet/day)

### Global Petroleum Trade: Impact of a U.S. Fracking Ban

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018 Imports mb/d</th>
<th>2018 Price $71.06/bbl</th>
<th>Cost (trillion$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$80.00/bbl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petroleum</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>$1.82 trillion</td>
<td>$2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>28.42 Tcf</td>
<td>$6.62/Mcf</td>
<td>$8.00/Mcf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipeline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNG</td>
<td>15.21 Tcf</td>
<td>$10.00/Mcf</td>
<td>$12.00/Mcf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue (billions)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$340.26</td>
<td>$409.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Climate Policy: Are Energy Economists Part of the Problem?

(A Perspective on the MisAllocation of Economic Research in Energy and the Environment)

• A preoccupation with mandates, targets, and transition technologies while abandoning marginal economic analysis (OK, there has been efforts underway on carbon pricing)

• Little progress in benefit calculation, timing of benefits and risk analysis (variant of marginal analysis)

• Environmental costs of so-called net zero solutions are largely ignored.

• Large number of college educated individuals believe supply constraints (e.g., HF ban) are productive measures to address climate concerns.

• Nearly all attention placed on research of mitigation measures (carbon control), but little effort made to understand benefits of adaption, i.e., What guidance should we give policy makers on how to spend public funds? Should more resources be directed, at the margin to adaption?

• Too much focus on transition in the OECD. If OECD emissions went to zero, total world emissions would only revert to 1995 levels (Medlock, et al). Debt overhang will make non-OECD countries reluctant to adopt expensive options.
COVID-19 Fiscal Response has been Swift, but the Debt Overhand Will Remain

POLICYMAKERS ARE RESPONDING MUCH FASTER THAN IN THE GFC

Fiscal response as a % of GDP at the time of crisis

- Global Financial Crisis
- COVID-19 Crisis

- ~$4.5 tn
- 22%

- ~$1.3 tn
- 10%

- ~€1.3 tn
- 8%

- ~€200 bn
- 2%


BUDGET DEFICITS ARE EXPECTED TO DRAMATICALLY RISE

Deficit to GDP, %

- Larger budget deficit

- 2019
- Forecasted 2020

No Substantial Transformation Without the Non-OECD World

- Non-OECD emissions have grown substantially over the last 20 years, while OECD emission have declined.
- Even if OECD emissions dropped to zero now, global emissions would still exceed 1995 levels.
- Deep decarbonization requires action everywhere, which presents challenges related to legacy, scale and technology!

Source: Ken Medlock, Rice; BP Statistical Review 2020
Global Direct Primary Energy Consumption
TerraWatt Hours (TWh)

World energy mix remains highly reliant on fossil fuels because of cost and convenience.